arXiv:1406.3777v2 [math.RT] 7 Jul 2014

Generalized argument shift method and complete commutative subalgebras in polynomial Poisson algebras

Anton Izosimov*

Abstract

The Mischenko-Fomenko argument shift method allows to construct commutative subalgebras in the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$ of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For a wide class of Lie algebras, these commutative subalgebras appear to be complete, i.e. they have maximal transcendence degree. However, for many algebras, Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebras are incomplete or even empty. In this case, we suggest a natural way how to extend Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebras, and give a completeness criterion for these extended subalgebras.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. For the sake of simplicity we shall assume that the ground field is $\mathbb{C}$, however everything works over an arbitrary field of characteristic zero. The symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$ can be naturally identified with the algebra of polynomials on the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and carries a natural Poisson bracket (*Lie-Poisson bracket*) which is defined on linear functions by $\{\xi, \eta\} = [\xi, \eta]$ and is extended to all polynomials by the Leibnitz identity.

We will be interested in commutative subalgebras in $S(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $C \subset S(\mathfrak{g})$ be a commutative subalgebra. Then the maximal possible transcendence degree of C is

$$b(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} + \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{g}).$$

If $\operatorname{tr.deg.} C = b(\mathfrak{g})$, then C is called a *complete commutative subalgebra*. Each complete commutative subalgebra in $S(\mathfrak{g})$ can be interpreted as an integrable system on the Poisson manifold $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

One of the most universal methods for constructing "large" commutative subalgebras in $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is the so-called *argument shift method*. This method was introduced by Mischenko and Fomenko [1] as a generalization of the Manakov construction [2] for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$.

The argument shift method can be described as follows. Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be an arbitrary regular element. Then there exist $m = \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{g}$ analytic functionally-independent invariants of the coadjoint representation defined in a small neighborhood of a. Denote these invariants by $f_1, \dots, f_m$. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$ expand the function $f_i(a + \lambda x)$ in powers of λ:

$$f_i(a + \lambda x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{ij}(x)\lambda^j$$

where all functions $f_{ij}(x)$ are polynomials. Denote the algebra generated by all these polynomials by $\mathcal{F}_a$. Then, as was proved by Mischenko and Fomenko, $\mathcal{F}_a$ is a commutative subalgebra in $S(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, then $\mathcal{F}_a$ is complete.

Note that our description of the argument shift method is slightly different from the original description which required that the invariants $f_1, \dots, f_m$ are polynomial. The modification of the argument shift method presented here is due to Brailov (see Bolsinov [3]).

The completeness criterion for sublagebras $\mathcal{F}_a$ was found by Bolsinov [4]. Namely, he proved that $\mathcal{F}_a$ is complete if and only if the set of singular elements $\operatorname{Sing} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ has codimension at least 2 (if the ground field $\mathbb{K}$ is not algebraically closed, one should consider the singular set in $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ where $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{K}$; see Bolsinov and Zhang [5] for details).

*Moscow State University and Higher School of Economics. E-mail: a.m.izosimov@gmail.com

Although the family $\mathcal{F}_a$ is in general not complete, Mischenko and Fomenko stated the following conjecture: for each finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, there exists a complete commutative subalgebra $C \subset S(\mathfrak{g})$. This conjecture was proved by Sadetov [6], see also Bolsinov [7]. However, Sadetov's construction is essentially different from the argument shift method. In particular, Sadetov's subalgebras are commutative only with respect to the standard Poisson structure, while Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebras $\mathcal{F}_a$ have the following remarkable property: they are commutative with respect to two Poisson structures, one of which is standard, and the second one (the so-called *frozen argument bracket*) is defined as follows. It is given on linear functions by $\{\xi, \eta\}_a = \langle a, [\xi, \eta]\rangle$, where $\langle\,,\rangle$ denotes the pairing between $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$, and is extended to all polynomials by the Leibnitz identity.

Our aim is to show that when the Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_a$ is incomplete, i.e. when the singular set Sing has codimension one, then there is a natural extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a \supset \mathcal{F}_a$ which is also commutative with respect to both brackets $\{f, g\}$ and $\{f, g\}_a$, and to give a completeness criterion for $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$.

In their paper [8], Bolsinov and Zhang formulated the following "generalized argument shift" conjecture: for each Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ there exists a subalgebra in $S(\mathfrak{g})$ which is commutative with respect to both brackets $\{f, g\}$ and $\{f, g\}_a$. In this way, our note is a step towards the proof of this conjecture.

2 Generalized argument shift method

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, and let $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_x = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \operatorname{ad}^*_\xi(x) = 0\}$$

be the stabilizer of x w.r.t. the coadjoint representation. The set Sing of singular elements in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is

$$\operatorname{Sing} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \dim \mathfrak{g}_x > \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{g}\}$$

where

$$\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{g} = \min_{x \in \mathfrak{g}^*} \dim \mathfrak{g}_x$$

is the *index* of $\mathfrak{g}$.

We consider the case when Sing has codimension one. Let Sing_0 be the union of all irreducible components of Sing which have maximal dimension. The set Sing_0 is the zero set of a certain homogenous polynomial $p_\mathfrak{g}(x)$. It is easy to see that $p_\mathfrak{g}$ is a semi-invariant of the coadjoint representation. Following Ooms and Van den Bergh [9], we call it the *fundamental semi-invariant* of $\mathfrak{g}$ (see also Joseph and Shafrir [10]).

More precisely, the fundamental semi-invariant is defined as follows. Let $t = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{g}$. Fix a basis in $\mathfrak{g}$, and let c_{ij}^k be the structure constants in this basis. Then an element $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is singular if and only if the rank of the matrix $\mathcal{A}_x = c_{ij}^k x_k$ is less than t, i.e. if Pfaffians of all principal $t \times t$ minors of $\mathcal{A}_x$ vanish. Define $p_\mathfrak{g}$ as the greatest common divisor of all these Pfaffians. Clealry, the zero set of $p_\mathfrak{g}$ coincides with Sing_0. However, $p_\mathfrak{g}$ is not necessarily the minimal polynomial which define Sing_0.

Now we use the fundamental semi-invariant $p_\mathfrak{g}$ to define the extended Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra. Consider the polynomial $p_\mathfrak{g}(a + \lambda x)$ and expand it in powers of λ:

$$p_\mathfrak{g}(a + \lambda x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_i(x)\lambda^i$$

where $n = \deg p_\mathfrak{g}$. Define the *extended Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra* $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$ as a subalgebra in $S(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by all elements of the classical Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_a$ and the polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_n$.

Theorem 1. *For each regular $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, the extended Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$ is commutative with respect to both brackets $\{f, g\}$ and $\{f, g\}_a$.*

Remark 2.1. This statement is, in fact, not new. Firstly, it was proved by Arhangel'skiĭ [11] that if two semi-invariants f, g commute with respect to the Lie-Poisson bracket, then their shifts, i.e. functions of the form $f(x + \lambda a)$, $g(x + \mu a)$ where $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is fixed, also commute. This statement easily implies that the extended Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$ is commutative with respect to the Lie-Poisson bracket. Moreover, the proof of Arhangel'skiĭ can be easily modified to show that

$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$ is commutative with respect to the frozen argument bracket as well (see Section 4). We also note that the assumption that f and g commute is in fact satisfied for arbitrary semi-invariants (see Ooms and Van den Bergh [9] and Section 4).

Secondly, Theorem 1 can be viewed as a generalization of Proposition 7 of Bolsinov and Zhang [8] which asserts that the functions $p_1, \dots, p_n$ are in involution with respect to both Lie-Poisson bracket and frozen argument bracket.

Thirdly, Theorem 1 follows from the following general construction from the theory of compatible Poisson brackets. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be compatible Poisson brackets. Consider the family $\mathcal{F}$ generated by Casimir functions of all generic linear combinations of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. This family is commutative with respect to both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ (see Reiman and Semenov-Tyan-Shanskii [12]), however it may be incomplete or even empty. In this case, $\mathcal{F}$ can be extended by adding eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}^{-1}$ (which is still well-defined on a certain quotient space even if $\mathcal{B}$ is degenerate, see e.g. [13]). Denote the extended family by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The family $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is commutative with respect to both brackets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.*

If we apply this construction to the Lie-Poisson bracket and the frozen argument bracket, we get Theorem 1.

Although we were not able to find the statement of Proposition 2.1 in the literature, we believe that it is well known to experts in the field. See [12, 14–16] where different constructions of integrable systems related to compatible Poisson brackets are discussed. Also note that the relation between the argument shift method and compatible Poisson brackets was probably first mentioned by Meshcheryakov [17].

3 Completeness criterion

Let $\mathrm{Sing}_{sr} \subset \mathrm{Sing}_0$ be the subset of Sing_0 which consists of subregular elements, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Sing}_{sr} = \{x \in \mathrm{Sing}_0 \mid \dim \mathfrak{g}_x = \mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g} + 2\}.$$

It is clear that Sing_{sr} is open in Sing_0, however it is not necessarily dense and may be empty. Let also $\mathrm{Sing}_{sm} \subset \mathrm{Sing}_0$ be the set of points where Sing_0 is smooth. This set is open and dense in Sing_0.

Denote the two-dimensional non-Abelian Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{b}_2$, and the $2n+1$-dimensional Heisenberg algebra by $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $x \in \mathrm{Sing}_{sr} \cap \mathrm{Sing}_{sm}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_x$ is isomorphic to one of the following Lie algebras:*

1. *$\mathfrak{b}_2 \oplus$ Abelian Lie algebra of dimension $\mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g}$;*
2. *$\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus$ Abelian Lie algebra of dimension $\mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g} - 1$;*
3. *Abelian Lie algebra of dimension $\mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g} + 2$.*

Proof. Corollary 2.1 of [18] implies that the derived algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_x$ is at most one-dimensional. It is easy to see that any Lie algebra with this property is either Abelian, or isomorphic to one of the following:

- $\mathfrak{b}_2 \oplus$ Abelian;
- $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} \oplus$ Abelian;

Now, using that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_x = \mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g} + 2$ and the inequality $\mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g}_x \geq \mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g}$, we obtain the above list. □

Remark 3.1. The inequality $\mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g}_x \geq \mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g}$ is true for any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and any $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. It is known as the Vinberg inequality. See Panyushev [19].

Consider the set

$$\mathrm{Sing}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \{x \in \mathrm{Sing}_{sr} \cap \mathrm{Sing}_{sm} \mid \mathfrak{g}_x \simeq \mathfrak{b}_2 \oplus \text{Abelian}\} \subset \mathrm{Sing}_{sr} \cap \mathrm{Sing}_{sm} \subset \mathrm{Sing}_0.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Sing}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is open in $\mathrm{Sing}_{sr} \cap \mathrm{Sing}_{sm}$ and thus in Sing_0.

Theorem 2. *The extended Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$ is complete if and only if the set $\mathrm{Sing}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is dense in Sing_0.*

Corollary 3.1. *Assume that for each irreducible component S_i of the variety Sing_0 there exists at least one $x \in S_i$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_x \simeq \mathfrak{b}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g}}$. Then the extended Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$ is complete. In particular, if Sing_0 is irreducible, and there exists at least one $x \in \mathrm{Sing}_0$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_x \simeq \mathfrak{b}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{g}}$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$ is complete.*

Remark 3.2. Theorem 2 can also be formulated as follows. For each irreducible component S_i of the variety Sing_0, there exists an open subset $\widetilde{S}_i$ such that all elements of this subset have isomorphic stabilizers. The extended Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$ is complete if and only if $\mathfrak{g}_x \simeq \mathfrak{b}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{g}}$ for each i and each $x \in \widetilde{S}_i$.

We also note that Theorem 2 can be generalized to the case of arbitrary compatible Poisson brackets.

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Though the statement of Theorem 1 follows from from considerations of Sections 5 and 6, we give an independent algebraic proof. As a matter of fact, we prove a stronger statement: if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex Lie algebra, and $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, then for any two semi-invariants $f, g \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ and any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\{f(a+\lambda x), g(a+\mu x)\} = 0, \quad \{f(a+\lambda x), g(a+\mu x)\}_a = 0.$$

The proof given below follows the ideas of Arhangel'skiĭ [11].

Recall that $f \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ is called a semi-invariant of $\mathfrak{g}$ if there exists a character $\chi_f \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\{f, g\} = \chi_f(\mathrm{d}g)f$$

for any $g \in S(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that $\{f, g\}$ is divisible by f for any $g \in S(\mathfrak{g})$. Then f is a semi-invariant.*

Proof. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a basis in $\mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_i \{f, x_i\} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}. \tag{1}$$

Since $\{f, x_i\}$ is divisible by f and has the same degree as f, there exists $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\{f, x_i\} = c_i f$. Define a linear function $\chi_f \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$ by setting $\chi_f(x_i) = c_i$. Then (1) can be rewritten as

$$\{f, g\} = \chi_f(\mathrm{d}g)f.$$

Further,

$$\{f, \{x_i, x_j\}\} = \{\{f, x_i\}, x_j\} + \{x_i, \{f, x_j\}\} = c_i\{f, x_j\} + c_j\{x_i, f\} = c^i c^j f - c^j c^i f = 0,$$

so

$$\chi_f(\{x_i, x_j\}) = \chi_f([x_i, x_j]) = 0,$$

i.e. χ_f is a character, q.e.d. □

Proposition 4.2. *Any semi-invariant f is a product of irreducible semi-invariants.*

Proof. Let $f = f_1^{k_1} \dots f_m^{k_m}$ where $f_1, \dots, f_m$ are irreducible and distinct. Then

$$\{f, g\} = \{f_1^{k_1} \dots f_n^{k_m}, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i f_1^{k_1} \dots f_i^{k_i - 1} \dots f_m^{k_m} \{f_i, g\}. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand,

$$\{f, g\} = \chi_f(\mathrm{d}g)f = \chi_f(\mathrm{d}g) f_1^{k_1} \dots f_m^{k_m}. \tag{3}$$

Comparing (2) and (3), we conclude that $\{f_i, g\}$ is divisible by f_i, hence f_i is a semi-invariant. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let f, g be two semi-invariants. Then $\{f, g\} = 0$.*

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to prove the proposition for irreducible distinct f and g. Let $h = \{f, g\}$. Then h is divisible by both f and g, and hence by fg. On the other hand,

$$\deg h \leq \deg f + \deg g - 1,$$

therefore $h = 0$. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let f, g be two semi-invariants. Then $\{f, g(a+\lambda x)\} = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\{f, g\} = 0$, we have $\chi_f(\mathrm{d}g(x)) = 0$ for any x. Let $h(x) = g(a+\lambda x)$. Then

$$\mathrm{d}h(x) = \lambda \mathrm{d}f(a+\lambda x),$$

so $\chi_f(\mathrm{d}h(x)) = 0$, and $\{f, h\} = \chi_f(\mathrm{d}h)f = 0$.

□

Proposition 4.5. *Let f, g be two semi-invariants. Then $\{f, g(a+\lambda x)\}_a = 0$.*

Proof. We shall use the following explicit formulas for the Lie-Poisson and frozen argument bracket:

$$\{f,g\}(x) = \langle x, [\mathrm{d}f(x), \mathrm{d}g(x)]\rangle, \quad \{f,g\}_a(x) = \langle a, [\mathrm{d}f(x), \mathrm{d}g(x)]\rangle.$$

Let $h(x) = g(a+\lambda x)$. Then

$$\{f,h\}_a(x) = \langle a, [\mathrm{d}f(x), \mathrm{d}h(x)]\rangle = \lambda\langle a, [\mathrm{d}f(x), \mathrm{d}g(a+\lambda x)]\rangle.$$

On the other hand,

$$\lambda\langle x, [\mathrm{d}f(x), \mathrm{d}g(a+\lambda x)]\rangle = \{f,h\}(x) = 0,$$

so

$$\{f,h\}_a(x) = \lambda\langle a+\lambda x, [\mathrm{d}f(x), \mathrm{d}g(a+\lambda x)]\rangle,$$

and

$$\{f,h\}_a\left(\frac{x-a}{\lambda}\right) = \lambda\langle x, [\mathrm{d}f\left(\frac{x-a}{\lambda}\right), \mathrm{d}g(x)]\rangle = \lambda^2\{f\left(\frac{x-a}{\lambda}\right), g\}(x).$$

The latter Poisson bracket vanishes by Proposition 4.4, so

$$\{f,h\}_a\left(\frac{x-a}{\lambda}\right) = 0$$

for any x, and hence $\{f,h\}_a = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let f, g be two semi-invariants. We need to prove that

$$\{f(a+\lambda x), g(a+\mu x)\} = \{f(a+\lambda x), g(a+\mu x)\}_a = 0.$$

Let $h(x) = f(a+\lambda x), k(x) = g(a+\mu x)$. Then

$$\{h,k\}(x) = \langle x, [\mathrm{d}h(x), \mathrm{d}k(x)]\rangle = \lambda\mu\langle x, [\mathrm{d}f(a+\lambda x), \mathrm{d}g(a+\mu x)]\rangle,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\{h,k\}\left(\frac{x-a}{\lambda}\right) &= \lambda\mu\langle\frac{x-a}{\lambda}, [\mathrm{d}f(x), \mathrm{d}g\left(a+\frac{\mu}{\lambda}(x-a)\right)]\rangle = \\ &= \lambda\{f, g\left(a+\frac{\mu}{\lambda}(x-a)\right)\}(x) - \lambda\{f, g\left(a+\frac{\mu}{\lambda}(x-a)\right)\}_a(x) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\{h,k\} = 0$. Analogously,

$$\{h,k\}_a\left(\frac{x-a}{\lambda}\right) = \lambda\mu\langle a, [\mathrm{d}f(x), \mathrm{d}g\left(a+\frac{\mu}{\lambda}(x-a)\right)]\rangle = \lambda^2\{f, g\left(a+\frac{\mu}{\lambda}(x-a)\right)\}_a(x) = 0,$$

and hence $\{h,k\}_a = 0$. □

5 Shifts of the fundamental semi-invariant

Recall that the shifts $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ of the fundamental semi-invariant are defined by the formula:

$$p_{\mathfrak{g}}(a+\lambda x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_i(x)\lambda^i$$

where $n = \deg p_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Consider the factorization of $p_{\mathfrak{g}}$ into irreducible factors:

$$p_{\mathfrak{g}} = p_1^{k_1} \ldots p_m^{k_m},$$

and let $d = \sum \deg p_i$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, and consider the equation

$$p_{\mathfrak{g}}(x-\lambda a) = 0.$$

Obviously, this equation has at most d distinct roots. Let us say that an element $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is nice if it has the following properties:

1. the equation $p_{\mathfrak{g}}(x-\lambda a) = 0$ has exactly d distinct roots $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d$;
2. $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d$ are locally analytic functions of x;
3. for each i, the dimension of the stabilizer of $x - \lambda_i(x)a$ is locally constant;
4. the line $x - \lambda a$ does not intersect the set $\mathrm{Sing} \setminus \mathrm{Sing}_0$.

It is clear that the set $\mathcal{N}$ of nice elements is Zariski dense in $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $x \in \mathcal{N}$. Then the space spanned by the differentials of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ coincides with the space spanned by the differentials of $p_1, \dots p_n$.*

Proof. Let s_i be the multiplicity of λ_i. Then

$$p_{\mathfrak{g}}(x - \lambda a) = p_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) \prod_{i=1}^{d} (\lambda_i(x) - \lambda)^{s_i},$$

so

$$p_{\mathfrak{g}}(a + \lambda x) = \lambda^n p_{\mathfrak{g}}(x + \frac{1}{\lambda} a) = p_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) \prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 + \lambda_i(x)\lambda)^{s_i},$$

therefore the functions $p_1, \dots, p_n$ are, up to a constant factor, elementary symmetric polynomials of the functions $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ taken with multiplicities, which easily implies the statement. □

Let $\mathfrak{g}_i(x) = \mathfrak{g}_{x - \lambda_i(x)a}$ be the stabilizer of $x - \lambda_i(x)a$. The two following statements relate the differentials $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ to the structure of stabilizers $\mathfrak{g}_1(x), \dots, \mathfrak{g}_d(x)$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $\mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x_0) \in \mathfrak{g}_i(x_0)$.*

Proof. Consider the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}$ passing through $y_0 = x_0 - \lambda_i(x_0)a$, and let $\xi \in \mathrm{T}_{y_0}\mathcal{O}$ be a vector tangent to the orbit at y_0. Let also $y(t)$ be a curve such that $y(t) \in \mathcal{O}, y(0) = y_0$, and $\dot{y}(0) = \xi$. Let also $x(t) = y(t) + \lambda_i(x_0)a$. Obviously, $y(t) \in \mathrm{Sing}$, and $\lambda_i(x(t)) = \lambda_i(x_0)$. Differentiating this formula with respect to t at $t = 0$, we obtain

$$\langle \dot{x}(0), \mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x_0) \rangle = 0,$$

and since $\dot{x}(0) = \dot{y}(0) = \xi$, we have

$$\langle \xi, \mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x_0) \rangle = 0. \tag{4}$$

Since (4) is true for any $\xi \in \mathrm{T}_{y_0}\mathcal{O}$, we have

$$\langle \mathrm{T}_{y_0}\mathcal{O}, \mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x_0) \rangle = 0,$$

which implies that $\mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x_0) \in \mathfrak{g}_{y_0} = \mathfrak{g}_i(x_0)$. □

The following simple formula is of fundamental significance for the present paper.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{N}$, and let $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_i(x_0)$. Then*

$$[\xi, \eta] = \langle a, [\xi, \eta] \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x_0). \tag{5}$$

Proof. Choose a neighborhood $U(x_0) \ni x_0$ such that the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}_i(x)$ is constant in $U(x_0)$. Then it is possible to define smooth mappings $\xi, \eta \colon U(x_0) \to \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\xi(x_0) = \xi, \eta(x_0) = \eta$, and $\xi(x), \eta(x) \in \mathfrak{g}_i(x)$ for each $x \in U(x_0)$. Differentiating the identity

$$\langle x - \lambda_i(x)a, [\xi(x), \eta(x)] \rangle = 0.$$

at $x = x_0$, we obtain (5). □

6 Linear algebra related to a pair of skew-symmetric forms

Let P_0, P_∞ be two skew-symmetric forms on a vector space V, and let

$$P_\lambda = P_0 - \lambda P_\infty.$$

Let also

$$r = \min_{\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}} \operatorname{corank} P_\lambda, \quad \Lambda = \{\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \mid \operatorname{corank} P_\lambda > r\}, \quad L = \sum_{\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Lambda} \operatorname{Ker} P_\lambda.$$

Proposition 6.1. *The space L has the following properties:*

1. *it is isotropic with respect to any form P_λ;*
2. *the skew-orthogonal complement to L given by $L^\perp = \{\xi \in V \mid P_\lambda(\xi, L) = 0\}$ does not depend on the choice of $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$;*
3. *if $\lambda \notin \Lambda$, then P_λ is non-degenerate on $L^\perp / L$;*
4. *if $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\dim (\operatorname{Ker} P_\lambda \cap L) = r$;*
5. *if $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then $\operatorname{Ker} (P_\alpha \mid_{\operatorname{Ker} P_\lambda}) \supset \operatorname{Ker} P_\lambda \cap L$.*

Assume that $\infty \notin \Lambda$, and define the *recursion* operator $R\colon L^\perp/L \to L^\perp L$ by the formula

$$R = P_\infty^{-1} P_0.$$

Proposition 6.2. *The operator R has the following properties:*

1. *the spectrum of R coincides with the set Λ; the multiplicity of each eigenvalue is at least two;*
2. *the λ-eigenspace of R coincides with the space $(\operatorname{Ker} P_\lambda)/(\operatorname{Ker} P_\lambda \cap L)$;*
3. *the eigenspaces of R are pairwise orthogonal with respect to P_λ for each λ;*
4. *the operator R is diagonalizable if and only if for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the following identity holds*

$$\dim \operatorname{Ker} \left(P_\infty \mid_{\operatorname{Ker} P_\lambda}\right) = r.$$

For the proof of Propositions 6.1, 6.2, see [13]. They can also be easily deduced from the Jordan-Kronecker theorem [20–23].

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$, and assume that $\xi_i \in \operatorname{Ker} P_{\lambda_i}$. Let*

$$U = L + \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \rangle.$$

Then

1. *U is isotropic with respect to P_λ for any $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$;*
2. *if $\lambda \notin \Lambda$, then U is maximal isotropic with respect to P_λ if and only if all eigenvalues of R have multiplicity two, and $\xi_i \notin L$ for each i.*

The proof easily follows from Propositions 6.1 and 6.2.

7 Proof of Theorem 2

Assume that $\operatorname{Sing}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is dense in Sing_0, and prove that the extended Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_a}$ is complete. Let us take $x \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x - \lambda_i(x)a \in \operatorname{Sing}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ for each i, and prove that the space

$$\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \{\mathrm{d}f(x) \mid f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(x)\}$$

has dimension

$$b(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} + \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{g}),$$

which immediately implies the completeness of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_a}$.

Consider skew-symmetric forms $P_0 = \mathcal{A}_x$ and $P_\infty = \mathcal{A}_a$ on the cotangent space $\mathrm{T}^*_x\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ which are given by

$$A_x(\xi, \eta) = \langle x, [\xi, \eta] \rangle, \quad A_a(\xi, \eta) = \langle a, [\xi, \eta] \rangle.$$

Let us apply the results of Section 6 to these two forms. We note that

$$r = \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{g}, \quad \operatorname{Ker} P_\lambda = \mathfrak{g}_{x-\lambda a}, \quad \Lambda = \{\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_d(x)\}.$$

The following lemma is due to Bolsinov [4].

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the classical Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebra, and let*

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{F}(x) = \{\mathrm{d}f(x) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}(x)\}.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{F}(x) = L.$$

As follows from Proposition 5.1,

$$\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{F}(x) + \langle \mathrm{d}\lambda_1(x), \dots, \mathrm{d}\lambda_d(x) \rangle.$$

By Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x) \in \mathfrak{g}_i(x) = \operatorname{Ker} P_{\lambda_i},$$

so we can use Proposition 6.3 to show that $\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ is maximal isotropic with respect to A_a and hence is of dimension $b(\mathfrak{g})$. In order to do this, we need to show that the eigenvalues of the recursion operator R have multiplicity two, and that $\mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x) \notin L$.

Since $x-\lambda_i(x)a \in \mathrm{Sing}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, we have $\dim \mathfrak{g}_i = r+2$, and by item 4 of Proposition 6.1, $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_i \cap L) = r$, so

$$\dim(\mathfrak{g}_i/(\mathfrak{g}_i \cap L)) = 2,$$

and all eigenspaces of R are two-dimensional (see Proposition 6.2, item 2). Therefore, to prove that all eigenvalues of R have multiplicity two, we need to show that R has no Jordan blocks. By item 4 of Proposition 6.2, it suffices to prove that $\dim \mathrm{Ker}\,(A_a \mid \mathfrak{g}_i) = r$. We have $\dim \mathfrak{g}_i = r+2$, and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_i \cap L = r$. By item 5 of Proposition 6.1, $\mathrm{Ker}\,(A_a \mid \mathfrak{g}_i) \supset \mathfrak{g}_i \cap L$, so $\dim \mathrm{Ker}\,(A_a \mid \mathfrak{g}_i)$ can be either r or $r+2$. Assume that it is $r+2$. Then $\mathrm{Ker}\,(A_a \mid \mathfrak{g}_i) = \mathfrak{g}_i$, and $A_a \mid \mathfrak{g}_i = 0$. By Proposition 5.3, this implies that $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is Abelian, which is not the case.

Now, let us prove that $\mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x) \notin L$. Since $\mathrm{Ker}\,(A_a \mid \mathfrak{g}_i) \supset \mathfrak{g}_i \cap L$, Proposition 5.3 implies that $\mathfrak{g}_i \cap L$ lies in the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_i)$. So, if $\mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x) \in L$, then

$$\mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_i).$$

On the other hand, since $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is not Abelian, Proposition 5.3 implies that

$$\mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x) \in [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i], \quad \mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x) \neq 0,$$

where $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i]$ is the derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_i$. But since $\mathfrak{g}_i \simeq \mathfrak{b}_2 \oplus \mathrm{Abelian}$, we have $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i] \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_i) = 0$, so $\mathrm{d}\lambda_i(x) \notin L$, which completes the proof of the if-part of the theorem. The proof of the only-if-part is analogous.

8 Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Alexey Bolsinov for useful comments. This work was supported by the Dynasty Foundation Scholarship.

References

[1] A.S. Mishchenko and A.T. Fomenko. Euler equations on finite-dimensional Lie groups. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 12(2):371–389, 1978.

[2] S.V. Manakov. Note on the integration of Euler's equations of the dynamics of an n-dimensional rigid body. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 10:328–329, 1976.

[3] A. Bolsinov. Some remarks about Mishchenko-Fomenko subalgebras. arXiv:1405.1710, 2014.

[4] A.V. Bolsinov. Compatible Poisson brackets on Lie algebras and the completeness of families of functions in involution. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 38(1):69–90, 1992.

[5] A.V. Bolsinov and K.M. Zuev. A formal Frobenius theorem and argument shift. *Mathematical Notes*, 86(1-2):10–18, 2009.

[6] S.T. Sadetov. A proof of the Mishchenko-Fomenko conjecture. *Doklady Math.*, 70(1):634–638, 2004.

[7] A. Bolsinov. Complete commutative subalgebras in polynomial Poisson algebras: a proof of the Mischenko-Fomenko conjecture. arXiv:1206.3882, 2012.

[8] A. Bolsinov and P. Zhang. Jordan-Kronecker invariants of finite-dimensional Lie algebras. arXiv:1211.0579, 2012.

[9] A.I. Ooms and M. Van den Bergh. A degree inequality for Lie algebras with a regular Poisson semi-center. *Journal of Algebra*, 323(2):305 – 322, 2010.

[10] A. Joseph and D. Shafrir. Polynomiality of invariants, unimodularity and adapted pairs. *Transformation Groups*, 15(4):851–882, 2010.

[11] A.A. Arhangel'skiĭ. Completely integrable Hamiltonian systems on a group of triangular matrices. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, 36(1):127, 1980.

[12] A.G. Reiman and M.A. Semenov-Tyan-Shanskii. A family of Hamiltonian structures, hierarchy of Hamiltonians, and reduction for first-order matrix differential operators. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 14:146–148, 1980.

[13] A. Bolsinov and A. Izosimov. Singularities of bi-Hamiltonian systems. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 2014.

[14] F. Magri. A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation. *J. Math. Phys.*, 19(5):1156–1162, 1978.

[15] I.M. Gel'fand and I.Ya. Dorfman. Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 13:248–262, 1979.

[16] F. Magri and C. Morosi. *A geometrical characterization of integrable Hamiltonian systems through the theory of Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds*. University of Milano, 1984.

[17] M.V. Meshcheryakov. A characteristic property of the inertial tensor of a multidimensional solid body. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 38(5):156, 1983.

[18] A. Izosimov. The derived algebra of a stabilizer, families of coadjoint orbits, and sheets. *Journal of Lie Theory*, 24:705–714, 2014.

[19] D.I. Panyushev. The index of a Lie algebra, the centralizer of a nilpotent element, and the normalizer of the centralizer. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 134:41–59, 1 2003.

[20] G.B. Gurevich. Die Kanonisierung eines Paares von Bivektoren. *Tr. Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Analizu*, 8:355–363, 1950.

[21] R. Thompson. Pencils of complex and real symmetric and skew matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 147(0):323 – 371, 1991.

[22] I. M. Gel'fand and I. S. Zakharevich. Spectral theory of a pencil of skew-symmetric differential operators of third order on S^1. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 23:85–93, 1989.

[23] I.K. Kozlov. An elementary proof of the Jordan-Kronecker theorem. *Mathematical Notes*, 94(5-6):885–896, 2013.